Original Research Article

Housing management practices of goats followed by tribal farmers in Rajasthan Housing and management system practiced by traibal farmers in Rajasthan

Abstract

The aim of the study was to assess the floor space, feeding and watering space and other housing management practice of goats followed by tribal farmers in Rajasthan. A total of 120 tribal goat farmers were selected from 12 villages from 6 blocks in 3 tribal dominated districts viz., Banswara, Dungarpur and Udaipur. Ten farmers from each village were selected purposively based on the number of goats. The selected goat farmers were grouped into three categories based on flock size as small (<25 goats, N = 60), medium (26-50 goats, N = 36) and large (>50 goats, N = 24). The floor space and other housing practices at the farmers flocks were recorded on-farm. The average flock size as small, medium and large categories of farmer was 22.63 ± 0.210 , 33.72 ± 1.05 and 58.54 ±1.28 respectively. The overall proportion of milking goats, dry goats, goatlings, kids and bucks were 12.52 ± 0.31 (32.63%), 8.62 ± 0.30 (22.50%), 6.64 ± 0.27 (17.33%), 9.52 ± 0.30 0.29 (24.85%) and 0.79 \pm 0.06 respectively. Across flock size categories most of large farmers and a sizable majority of medium and small farmers (75, 66.67 and 60 per cent respectively) housed their goat in one shed, whereas rest of the farmers in all three categories housed their goats in different sheds. It was observed that a huge majority of farmers (82.50%) did not have separate mangers for the feeding of goats. The available mangers were made of either mud (11.6 %) or cement concrete (5.8%). The roof in case of a large majority of farmers across flock size categories was made of thatch (61.67 %) followed by iron sheet (21.66 %) and asbestos sheets (16.67 %). There was no major variation in type of roofing material among the three flock size categories of goat farmers. The average floor space available for milking goats, dry goats, goatlings, kids and breeding bucks were 1.68 \pm 0.02, 1.58 ± 0.06 , 0.97 ± 0.07 , 0.50 ± 0.05 and 2.79 ± 0.39 sq meter respectively. The average floor space was significant (p < 0.05) higher in small flock size category of farmers followed by medium and large farmers among milking goats, dry goats and goatlings. Overall floor space available for milking goats, dry goats, goatlings, kids and breeding bucks were $1.68 \pm$ $0.02, 1.58 \pm 0.06, 0.97 \pm 0.07, 0.50 \pm 0.05$ and 2.79 ± 0.39 respectively. It was concluded that housing practices were mostly traditional without much regard to scientific recommendations. However, these management practices in general were better in case of small farmers as compared to medium and large farmers.

Key words: Goat farming, Floor space, Feeding and watering space, Tribal goat farmers Introduction:

Goats are the world's oldest and among the first ruminants to be domesticated by human beings in South-Western Asia (Iran and Iraq) between 10000 and 6000 years BC. Around 80 per cent of global goat population is in the developing countries. Among them, India ranks second in the world population of goat. With the present population of 135.2 million, goats account for more than 25 per cent of the total livestock in the country and contribute Rs 106335 million annually to the national economy (19th Livestock Census, 2012). They provide food and nutritional security to the millions of marginal and small farmers and agricultural labourers by providing animal protein through meat and milk. There are about 34 well defined and recognized breeds of goats in India (NBAGR, 2018). Goats are among the main meat-producing animals in India, whose meat (chevon) is one of the choicest meat rephrase having huge domestic demand. Besides meat, goats, a multi functional/purpose animal which provide other products like milk, skin, fibre and manure. Goat contributed 5.05 million tonnestons of meat (15.56% of total production of 137.685 million tons) and 0.97 million tonnestons of meat (15.56% of total production) during the year 2013-2014 (BAHS, 2015).

In India, Rajasthan wasis ranked first in goat population with a population of 21.66 millions, (37.53%) of total livestock population in the state. Sirohi goat is the most preferred goat breed over other breeds in Rajasthan (Marwari and Jhakhrana). Goats are the backbone of rural economy particularly, in the arid, semi-arid and mountainous regions of Rajasthan. Goat farming is a suitable option for revenue generation for the small scale farmers and tribal people as it require a very low investment and can efficiently survive and sustain sparse vegetation and extreme climatic conditions. Best known as the "poor man's cow" or "mini cow" these magnificent animals are the best alternative source of additional income and milk contributing immensely to the poor man's economy. In pastoral and agricultural subsistence societies in India, goats are kept as a source of an insurance against disaster. Goats are generally managed under extensive production system and semi intensive system, where only at night shelter is provided. A major part of their fodder requirement is met out through grazing at waste and other common community lands.

India is a conventional home for about 645 tribal communities (population census, 2011). They are dispersed in almost all the states and union territories. The areas populated by tribals are mostly underdeveloped. They mostly reside in secluded villages or hamlets. The population of tribal in the country is 104 millions, which is 8.2 per cent of the total

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

population of the country whereas; the Scheduled Tribe (ST) population of Rajasthan State **is 7,097,706** constituting 8.4 percent of the total ST population of India (Census, 2011). The Scheduled Tribes of the State constitute 12.6 percent of the total population (68548437) of the state. According to the 19th Livestock census, 2012 goats population in the districts of Banswara, Dungarpur and Udaipur which have been categorized as tribal districts in Rajasthan state (study area) is was 38.52% of the total livestock population in Rajasthan.

Materials and methods

A total of 120 tribal goat farmers were selected from 12 villages from 6 blocks in 3 tribal dominated districts viz., Banswara, Dungarpur and Udaipur. Ten farmers from each village were selected purposively based on the number of goats. The selected goat farmers were grouped into three categories based on flock size as small (<25 goats, N = 60), medium (26-50 goats, N = 36) and large (>50 goats, N = 24). The floor space and other housing practices at the <u>farmersfarmers'</u> flocks were recorded on-farm.

Results and discussion:

The data on different housing management practices recorded from the 3 categories of farmers are is presented in Ttable 1.

(1) Site of goat houses: On the whole 87.50 per-cent of goat farmers housed their goats in shed-attached to their residence and remaining 12.50 per-cent goat farmers housed their animals away from their dwellings. Category wise the per cent of small, medium and large farmers who housed their animal attached with human dwellings was 86.67, 88.89 and 87.50 per cent respectively and remaining goat farmers housed their animals away from their dwellings. Paraphrase the statement to make it clear!!!!!!!!!! .Result Present results showed that a large majority of goat farmers (87.50 %) housed their animals in a shed attached to their residence. The findings are in agreement with the reports of Samanta (2002), Pathodiya (2003), Sharma (2005), Gurjar (2006), Tanwar *et al.* (2012) and Sorathiya *et al.* (2016).

(2) Mode of housing: Perusal of data in <u>T</u>table 1 <u>indicated (different fond compared to the entire</u> document, use same fond throughout the document!!!!!!) that overall the most of farmers (65 %) housed all categories of goats in one shed whereas, 35 per cent goat farmers adopted the practice of housing goats in separate sheds based on their age and sex.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Across flock size categories most of large farmers and a sizable majority of medium and small farmers (75, 66.67 and 60 per cent respectively) housed their goat in one shed, whereas rest of the farmers in all three categories housed their goats in different sheds. The proportion of goat farmers who practices to hous<u>inge</u> all goats in one shed decreased with increase in flock size. Results were closely <u>in</u> agreement with reported by Tanwar (1994) and Gurjar, (2006). It was observed that a huge majority of farmers (82.50%) did not have separate mangers for the feeding of goats. If available the mangers were made of either mud (11.6 %) or cement concrete (5.8%). Similar findings were observed by Gurjar (2006).Overall 70.00 per-cent farmers had biological type boundary wall (made of biological material by growing cactus plant/dry acacia branches) followed by 30.00 per–cent kuchha/mud type. The proportion of farmers having both kaccha and biological boundary wall increased with increase in flock size. However, reverse trend was observed for farmers having kuchha (what type of fencing is this? Make it clear) fencing. The findings are in agreement with the results reported by Sharma (2005), Gurjar (2006), Tanwar *et al.* (2012) and Sorathiya *et al.* (2016).

S.		Small		Medium		Large		Overall	
No.	Variables								
		Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
1.	Site of housing for goats								
	Within human dwellings	52	86.67	32	88.89	21	87.50	105	87.50
	Outside human dwellings	8	13.33	4	11.11	3	12.50	15	12.50
2.	Mode of housing								
	All flock mixed together	36	60.00	24	66.67	18	75.00	78	65.00
	Separated into different								
	groups	24	40.00	12	33.33	6	25.00	42	35.00
4.	Type of manger								
	Made of cement concrete	2	3.33	4	11.11	1	4.17	7	5.83
	Made of mud	6	10.00	6	16.67	2	08.33	14	11.67
	Manger not available	52	86.67	26	72.22	21	87.50	99	82.50
5.	Boundary wall								
	Made of mud	15	25.00	12	33.33	9	37.50	36	30.00
	Made of biological								
	material (by growing								
	cactus plant/dry acacia								
	branches)	45	75.00	24	66.67	15	62.50	84	70.00
6.	Protection from adverse climatic condition								
	By use of plastic sheet/								
	thatch made of date palm	52	86.67	32	88.89	21	87.50	97	80.83

Table-1: Housing management practices

	leaves								
	No protection measure								
	used	8	13.33	04	11.11	3	12.50	23	19.17
7.	Roofing material								
	Thatch	32	53.33	24	66.66	18	75.00	74	61.67
	Asbestos sheet		20.00	6	16.67	2	8.33	20	16.67
	G. I. (Galvanized iron)								
	sheet	16	26.67	6	16.67	4	16.67	26	21.66

(5) Protection from animals in adverse climatic condition: Data presented in the table 1 indicated that 80.83 per-cent of all farmers provided protection against adverse climatic condition through different methods while only 19.17 per-cent farmers are not protected their flock against adverse climatic condition. The proportion of goat farmers who protected flock against cold/hot increased with increase in flock size.

(6) **Type of roofing material:** The type of roof in case of a large majority of farmers across flock size categories was made of thatch (61.67 %) followed by iron sheet (21.66 %) and asbestos sheets (16.67 %). There was no major variation in type of roofing material among the three flock size categories of goat farmers. These findings are in close agreement with the observations of Gokhale (2002), Pathodiya (2003), Kumar and Deoghare (2003), Rai and Singh (2004), Sing *et al.*, (2005) , Sharma (2005),Gurjar (2006), Tanwar *et al.*(2012) and Sorathiya *et al.* (2016).

Sr. no.	Animal category	Flock size			Recommended	
		Small	Medium	Large	Overall	floor space (BIS, 2015)
1.	Milking goats	$1.9^{a} \pm 0.04$	1.83 ^b ±0.08	1.48 ^c ±0.09	1.68±0.02	2
2.	Dry goats	2.08 ± 0.12	1.89 ^b ±0.27	1.28 ^c ±0.05	1.58±0.06	2
3.	Goatlings	$1.16^{a} \pm 0.09$	0.96 ^b ±0.33	0.84 ^b ±0.09	0.97 ± 0.07	1
4.	Kids	0.52 ± 0.02	0.49 ±0.03	0.50 ± 0.04	0.50 ± 0.05	0.75(0.5 to 1)
5.	Bucks	$2.77{\pm}0.06$	$2.96{\pm}0.05$	$2.66{\pm}0.05$	2.79±0.39	2.5 (2-3)

Table-2: Average floor space (sq m) available in goat pens

Means bearing different superscript in a row differ significantly



Pucca goat house with tin roof and tiles Me

Measurement of goat house dimensions

(7) Floor space availability

The data pertaining to average floor space availability in goat houses is presented in Ttable 2. The average flooring space available for milking goats, dry goats, goatlings, kids and breeding bucks were 1.68 ± 0.02 , 1.58 ± 0.06 , 0.97 ± 0.07 , 0.50 ± 0.05 and 2.79 ± 0.39 sq meter respectively. Floor space was highly significant (p < 0.05) in small flock size of farmers followed by medium and large farmers among milking goats, dry goats and goatlings and non significant difference among kids and bucks. The availability of floor space was almost equal to the recommended floor space in milking goats, dry goats, goatling and bucks in case of small and medium group of farmers, whereas lower in milking goats, dry goats, goatling and kids in case of large group of farmers as compared to BIS Standards recommendations. Floor space availability for breeding bucks was higher in small, medium farmers as compared to standard recommendations but lower in case of large farmers.

Conclusion:

It was concluded that housing practices were mostly traditional without much regard to scientific recommendations. However, these management practices in general were better in case of small farmers as compared to medium and large farmers.

References:

Basic Animal Husbandry Statistics (2015) Govt. of India.

Census (2011) Directorate of census operation Rajasthan, Ministry of Home Affairs Govt. of India.

- Gokhale, S. B., Gokhale, R. B., Phadke, N. L. and Desale, R. J. (2002) Status of village goat management practices in Maharashtra. *Ind. Journal of Animal Science*, 72(9): 810-814.
- Gurjar, M. L., (2006) Goat husbandry practices in Mewar region of the southern Rajasthan.Ph. D. Thesis, MPUAT, Udaipur, Rajasthan.
- Kumar, S. and Deoghare, P. R., (2003) Goat production system and livelihood security of rural land less household. *Indian Journal of Small Ruminants*. 9 (1): 19-24.
- Livestock census, (2012) Govt. of India, Ministry of Agriculture, Department of Animal Husbandry, Dairying & Fisheries.

NBAGR, (2018) www.nbagr.res.in/reggoat.html

- Patodiya, O. P. (2003). Annual Report (2002-2003). All India Coordinated Research Project on Goat Improvement (Sirohi field unit), LRS, Vallabhnagar (MPUAT), Udaipur, Rajasthan. India, 8-9.
- Samanta, A. K. (2002) Annual Report (2001-02) AICRP on goat improvement, Black Bengal field unit, West Bengal University of Animal and Fishery Sci. Kolkata West Bengal, 9-10.
- Sharma, M.C. (2005) Genetic investigation of body weight and morphometry traits of Sirohi goats in the field, Ph. D. Thesis submitted to, MPUAT, Udaipur (Rajasthan).
- Singh, K. P., Tahanem, K. R., Pandey, D. P., Dixit, S. P. and Chavan, D. B. (2005) Health status, housing and management practices in Mehsana goat breeding tract. National Symposium on Domestic Animal Diversity Status, Opportunities and Challenges at NBAGR, Karnal, 10-11, 178.
- Sorathiya L.M., Fulsounder, A.B., K. K. Tyagi, M.D. Patel and H. B. Dhamsaniya (2016). Management practices of goats followed by Ahirs in heavy rainfall zone of Gujarat. *Indian Journal of Small Ruminants*, 22 (1): 92-96.

Conference of IAAVR and 5th Indian Vet. Congress, December 31: 275-276.

- Tanwar, P. S. and Khem, C. (2011) Existing grazing and supplementary feeding practices of goats in semi-arid Rajasthan. *Indian Journal of Small Ruminants*, 17 (2): 240-242.
- Tanwar, P. S. and Rohilla, P. P. (2012) Goat management practices adopted by farmers in Jaipur district of Rajasthan. *Indian Journal of Small Ruminants*, 18 (1): 121-124.