



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_48261
Title of the Manuscript:	Technical Efficiency and Production Risk of Rice Farms under Anchor Borrowers Programme in Kebbi State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The main problem with this paper is the lack of a conceptual framework (which might take various forms) outlining what is being tested here that is new and important and a subsequent discussion section which would be used to comment on the framework's adequacy and this would represent the claim for contribution to academic knowledge.</p> <p>The conclusion should incorporate the research limitations and suggestions for future research.</p> <p>The paper needs to be edited for language use.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	John Walsh
Department, University & Country	RMIT University Vietnam, Vietnam