



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_48547
Title of the Manuscript:	Theoretical Orientation for Readability Assessment in Bengali Language of Extension Literatures Related to Farming
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Pages 1: Line 29 – change <u>fair</u> to <u>fail</u> Pages 2: Line 36 – meaning of <u>average</u> is not clear Pages 2: Line 37 – insert <u>as</u> Pages 3: Line 81 – insert <u>which</u> Pages 3: Line 97 – use <u>formulas</u> and be consistent Pages 3: Line 110 – insert <u>THAT</u> Pages 3: Line 112-113 – not clear Pages 3: Line 118 – insert <u>that</u> Pages 6: Line 172 – write <u>organised</u> not <u>organise</u> Pages 5: see diagram for correction labelling Pages 7: Line 204 – delete <u>s</u> from <u>guidlines</u> Pages 7: Line 214 – insert <u>was</u>; delete <u>will be</u> Pages 7: Line 215 – insert <u>was</u>; delete <u>will be</u> Pages 8: Line 228 – insert <u>were</u>; delete <u>can be</u> Pages 9: Line 246 – insert <u>were</u>; delete <u>will be</u> Pages 9: Line 249 – insert <u>were</u>; delete <u>will be</u> Pages 10: Line 251 – insert <u>were</u>; delete <u>are</u> Paper should show conclusion and recommendations!</p> <p>Paper is scientifically robust and technically sound. Paper should have Conclusion and Recommendation Section</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Balogun, Femi Unueshotse
Department, University & Country	School Of General Studies , Nigeria