



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_48571
Title of the Manuscript:	SOURCES OF INFORMATION & THEIR EXTENT OF UTILIZATION BY ACTORS IN AKIS FOR BETEL VINE GROWERS IN NADIA DISTRICT OF WEST BENGAL
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>It needs first of all to be thoroughly edited for language use, with abbreviations appearing in full the first time they are used (including in the title and abstract) and abbreviated form thereafter, while local measurements (e.g. lakh) should be replaced by internationally recognised measurements.</p> <p>The paper will then need a proper and detailed literature review that will lead to the creation of a conceptual framework that can be tested during the findings section, preferably by research hypotheses, which are then considered in the discussion section, where the consideration for claim to contribution to academic knowledge should be made.</p> <p>The methodology section will need to be expanded to cover the creation and development of the research instrument, the justification for the sample size, the comparison of demographic characteristics between the sample achieved and the overall population, the possibility of non-response bias and so forth.</p> <p>The conclusion should include the research limitations and suggestions for future research, while any recommendations must be strictly bounded in the findings provided.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	John Walsh
Department, University & Country	RMIT University Vietnam, Saigon South campus, Vietnam