



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_48595
Title of the Manuscript:	Environmental issues and the Resulting cultural changes – A Study in the Hilly Tribal Ecosystem
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The author has reached to the conclusion that tribes are the first victims of environmental degradation. It is agreed that the issue of degradation of environment is affected to all may be more to the tribes who depend their livelihood more on natural resources but this needs to be supported by reviewing some more literature/references. 2. The environmental changes mentioned in the paper is just listed without facts and figures. It needs more facts and figures if available of the study area. 3. Six tribes resided in the study area. Names of these tribes may be given in the methodology. The number of respondents was not given. It is suggested to write down the 7 issues related to cultural changes identified by the local respondents first and then ranking can be done in the results and discussion part. 4. Though the formula of Garrett ranking method is given in the methodology the author did not mentioned about how the average Garrett score was arrived. The author needs to elaborate in this part before coming to the results and discussion. More tables are required for 'ith' item, 'jth individual' and 'frequency' etc. so as to arrive the Garrett score etc. 5. Regarding changes in the housing pattern the explanation at 3.1.5 needs to be re-examined. No doubt the houses made of locally available materials are cheaper it is not necessary to be comfort and sustain the weather condition. The concrete houses are coming up in the area either due to lack of local material, comfort and strong, increase in income of the people etc. 6. The explanation of 3.1.7 "Changes in the water use tradition" is confusing, it needs more description. Similar cases may be checked by the author. 	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper is written very shortly. It lacks critical examination and looks like writing a news or narration by observing the place and people. It lacks lacks facts and figures to support the observation.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chandam Victoria Devi
Department, University & Country	North Eastern Regional Institute of Water and Land Management, India