



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_48911
Title of the Manuscript:	Entrepreneurial Behavior and constraints faced by the rose growers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Interesting topic. Here are some suggestions.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) This topic is an interesting one, the structure is good but very limited information has been provided in line with who has worked in this line of research in the past or what triggered the research. 2) Most references have been placed in the results section as matches for previous literature. A research paper should balance it's references with other sections 3) The abstract seems to be relatively long. It is very helpful to include but the necessary aspects of the paper in the abstract section i.e. not every single detail of the results is needed in this section but the most significant. 4) Please check the recommended referencing styles and rectify them 5) It is necessary to create a literature review section that can act as a base for some significant arguments on related topics. 6) This paper does not seem to target a definite audience. Therefore, the readership might be confusing. 7) Recommendations section is needed for future researchers on the topic. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Juliet Libanda</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Jiangsu University of Science and Technology, China</i>