



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_47998
Title of the Manuscript:	ESTIMATION OF BACKGROUND INDUCED TEMPERATURE IN AND AROUND BAKERY OVENS FOR SOME SELECTED LOCATIONS IN CALABAR
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Every reference cited in the main text, such as Golbabaei and Omidvari (2008), Epstein and Daniel (2006), and Kjellstrom (2014), should also appear in reference list. However, it is not. This is a serious lack. 2. The paragraph of the lines 173-176 is not clear and written in poor. 3. The presentation of the Results section is not appropriate. For example, all Tables and Figures lack of the units of the physical quantities. Table 7 and Figures 3 and 5 do not specify the locations of the field test. 4. In Figure 4, explain how the line can be drawn 5. How do you get the correlation coefficients In Line 223. The explanation is suggested to present in the text 6. In line 224, "Temperature increases, wind speed also increases" the description does not apply to Table 4. In addition, the sentence should be more accurate. "Temperature increases, wind speed also increases"->:"As the ambient temperature increases, the wind speed in bakery increases." 7. Please enhance the Discussion and Conclusion sections and show the highlights of the work 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the Introduction, verb tenses should be considered past. The past tense is used to describe things that have already happened. 2. Check the Keywords format in line 21 3. There is an omission title Table 2 in line 137. Please renumber all the Tables. 4. The reference list does not complete. For example, the Reference [3] lacks Journal name. 	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript should be written in a clear and free from grammatical errors. I won't see any highlights of the work.	

PART 2:



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Jing-Min Wang</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>St. John's University, Taiwan</i>