



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJARR_48101</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of Problem-solving Technique on Chemistry students' Academic Performance in Stoichiometry in Senior Secondary Schools in Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The literature is too lengthy. Some statements can be simplified with points can merge.</li> <li>Can rearrange the RQ, hypothesis into their own dedicated subsections. Easier to discuss with dedicated titles that represents the findings. Current formatting is more similar to report writing compared to article writing.</li> <li>Can also present findings in figure/illustration form. More interesting for reader to read. Give better perspective, in particular the significant difference in performance.</li> <li>The full mark for the score should be provided. Also include word 'score' in most of the table to let readers understand easier.</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Some grammatical errors here and there</li> <li>Some typos, missing dot, spacing between words. Revisit the article carefully and eliminate these mistakes</li> </ul>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	
<b>If plagiarism is suspected, please provide related proofs or web links.</b>	Originality report is at 29%. Should reduce to below 20%	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Masnita Misiran</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Universiti Utara Malaysia, Malaysia</i></b>