



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJARR_48291</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Epidemiological and clinical profiles of children aged 0-71 months suffering from acute diarrhea at Kalembe-lembe hospital in Kinshasa city, Democratic Republic of the Congo</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The abstract should not exceed 300 words according to author's guidelines (but <b>447 words</b> in this paper).</li> <li>2) Table 1: You must indicate the reference of your study population distribution method. Why 0-11 month an 12-71 month. Why not 0-1 month, 1-12 month, 12-48 month and 48-71 month. This distribution is usually use in paediatric medicine.</li> <li>3) Table 1: the percentages indicated are not very clear. N=? by age groups. Did you really recorded 100% deaths?</li> <li>4) Why the distribution of age group is different for tables 1 and 2?</li> <li>5) Conclusion is too long. Summarize the paragraph concerning recommendations in two or three lines..</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1- Line 71-76 : In my view, it is not necessary to give in introduction the methodology steps.</li> <li>2- Line 195: Rewrite Etiological</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Line 126-127: Your statistical analysis not revealed a significant in variation in cases of diarrhea in the population of this region. Is this analysis concerned only monthly distribution of cases or both monthly distribution of cases and number of male and female children. I think 17 is significatively different to 47.</li> <li>2) Line 245-251: In my view, you compare your results with the studie which take place before 2000 for many references. is it not possible to find more recent work on this topic.</li> </ol>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Goualie Gblossi Bernadette</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny, Côte d'Ivoire</b>