



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_48810
Title of the Manuscript:	A STUDY ON EFFECT OF SLEEP PATTERNS ON BODY FAT MASS OF SCHOOL GOING CHILDREN (8-10- YEARS OLD) IN MUMBAI CITY.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The author should mention the statistical tests they used for their comparisons. They mention in several parts that there was significant correlation, yet how did this correlation was derived. 2. In the submitted tables, the reported significance is not clear where it belongs. If it is for the whole comparison it must be mentioned. For example, at the end of Table 3.1 it says 0.67, where does this refer too? 3. Also, it is important that authors state, in each table or in the text, the p-values of individual comparisons. For example, in Table 3.1 it states: 4. 0(don't watch tv), 73, 5.69±2.97 5. 1(9-10pm), 133, 5.91±3.47 6. What is the p-value of those means? This should be done for all comparisons in the text. Otherwise, the reader does not have a clue what is different from what. 7. A good way of presenting such data is through a figure, which would give a nice diagrammatical representation of the author's findings. 8. Grammatical and orthographical errors must be corrected throughout the text 	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>George I. Lambrou</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece</i>