



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_49027
Title of the Manuscript:	Attitude and Academic Success in Practical Agriculture: Evidence from Public Single-Sex High School Students in Ibadan, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p>	<p>I want to appreciate the editor of this outlet for considering me worthy for this assignment. Also, I want to commend the efforts of the author in this study. The paper is very interesting but the following areas need to be properly addressed.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The abstract is good and well written 2. The background of the study is not bad as well but it lacks organisation. The section is too long and needs to be reduced. No reason to justify the choice of Ibadan <p>Statement of the Problem.</p> <p>I could not find any problem stated here. My question is how did the author generate his research objectives, questions and hypotheses? Basically these should be derived from gaps in knowledge observed in previous studies. Although an attempt was made, but it was not well coordinated. What gap can be found in [18] and [14], [19], [2], [20], 21 and 22? What relationship can be established between them and your research objectives, research questions and hypotheses?</p> <p>Literature review.</p> <p>Review relevant literature on the concept of Attitude and Academic Success and let us know how they relate to the topic under study.</p> <p>Methodology</p> <p>The study design is okay but what is the population? How did you get your sample? What formula did you use to get it? There is no problem with the instruments, but how did you administered them in Ibadan? What method of sampling techniques did you use? What is the response rate? What of the instruments validity and reliability?</p> <p>Data analysis is okay</p> <p>Reference</p> <p>The author tries to conform but the format adopted needs to be corrected as follows: [14], [19], [2], [20], 21 and 22? [14,19,2,20,21 & 22]</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dare Ojo Omonijo
Department, University & Country	Olabisi Onabanjo University, Nigeria