



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJBGMB_49084</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Cytotoxicity of N-(P-chlorophenyl)-7-hydroxycoumarin-3-yl carboxamide and Ethyl 7-hydroxycoumarin-3-yl ester</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:  
(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Authors have provided an extensive introduction regarding various aspects of related to the anticancer properties of coumarins. However they did not elaborate why an ester and amide derivative of coumarin were chosen for their study. The compound numbering is problematic, according to the Scheme 1 the comp 1 is 2,4-dihydroxybenzaldehyde and comp-2 is the ester whereas in the text, Table 1, Fig. 1-3 different numbering has been used. Please address this issue. The experimental procedure for the preparation of both the compounds along with their spectral data must be included. It is desirable to include data of a reference compound e.g. doxorubicin included in Table 1. Table 1: the unit i.e. µg/ml is missing.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Please mention if comp-2 is also known. Fig 1-3: the X and Y axis should be defined properly.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The comp-1 is known and that turned out to be the most active compound.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Manojit Pal</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>