SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJEA_27957**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Endangered Traditional Seeds Conservation through Community-Based Seed Banks**
Type of the Article	**Study**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Manuscript is study, has not a character of research article. In line 29 and 56 - what does it mean SHG´s ? In line 41 - what does it mean BIRD-k? In line 62 - what does it mean NGO´s ? In line 74 - In the title omit : 2.1 STUDY AREA:	
Minor REVISION comments	Methodology and conclusion needs to be completed about optimum temperature, optimum humidity and storage times for different types of seeds.	
Optional/General comments	Authors should study the basic principles of works in genetic seed banks. In many countries, they are stored plant seeds in seed banks for a short or long-term storage. References are poor, need to read more articles about storage of plant seeds.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	*Magdalena Valsikova*
Department, University & Country	*Slovak university of agriculture in Nitra, Slovak Republic*