### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_46317
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ROLE OF CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY TO ENVIRONMENT ON EMPLOYEES JOB SATISFACTION AND COMMITMENT TO THE ORGANIZATION IN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

## **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Overall Article is <b>Good</b>	
	<ul> <li>Author analysing the problem of The Role Of Corporate Social Responsibility To Environment On Employees Job Satisfaction And Commitment To The Organization In Construction Corporates in descriptive and analytical pattern.</li> <li>With best of my knowledge, some general points find out and note down below.</li> <li>Article title is not been expressing proper meaning. Required to reconstruct the title</li> <li>Author clearly not specified Objectives.</li> <li>Author used various statistical tools for analysing the problem and testing of Hypothesis.</li> <li>Hypothesis are only two i.e. Null and alternative H0 &amp; H1. But No. of hypothesis may be more. Here author specifying H1,H2, H3 Like this. It's not correct</li> <li>Hence, Research Results showing accurate values.</li> <li>Findings must be comes after analysis and before conclusions.</li> <li>All recommendations are coming from research study</li> <li>Sufficient references are included</li> </ul>	
	Finally, this article is graded <b>Good</b> .	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



## **SDI Review Form 1.6**

## PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

## Reviewer Details:

Name:	Srinivasa Rao Kasisomayajula
Department, University & Country	Madanapalle Institute of Technology and Science, JNTU Ananthapur, India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)