



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_49008
Title of the Manuscript:	Production of Activated Carbon from Agroindustrial Residues and Application in the Treatment of Desalinator Reject
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The ABSTRACT is not written to the style of the journal.</p> <p>The REFERENCES at end of paper are NOT written in ALL CAPS for authors.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>The use of activated carbon is essential in many areas of environmental detoxification.</p> <p>This is a useful paper for the fabrication of activated carbon and characterization with targeted species.</p> <p>The Tables are useful.</p> <p>The overall conclusion is appropriate.</p> <p>When the required revisions above are completed the paper can be completed.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Ronald Bartzatt</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Nebraska, USA</i>