



Journal Name: <b>SDI Review Form 1.6</b>	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_47784</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Challenges facing Teaching and Learning of English Language in Public Secondary Schools in Enugu State Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>The author should revisit the introductory part and work in a little more detail on the section of literature that inform the topic more clearly. To me, the author should not directly deal with Literature review in the introduction without backgrounding the topic as should be done.</p> <p>On Conclusion: The author should ensure that the conclusion is well written recalling the gist of the whole.</p> <p>Reference list, too, should be well written following the in text sources as well cited. Probably the authors forgot to put a full reference at the end of the manuscript as there is only one reference under that point.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Merit: Very good topic which needs readership; Succinct introduction, brief outline of methodology used including a clear research instrument; results well explored.</p> <p>Some points to revisit: Introduction should be well structured and, in need, not be connected with literature review,</p> <p>Statistics: Should rework on the two tables by showing the percentages which dictate the mean.</p> <p>Conclusion needs be revisited to synthesise the major points included in the manuscript; Reference at the end of the manuscript should be well written.</p> <p>By and large, the manuscript can be published following the editor's decision after the author takes into consideration the suggested correction.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors
--	---------------------------	--



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

		<i>should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Gabriel Bazimaziki</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>University of Rwanda, Rwanda</i></b>