



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_49073
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic Analysis of Small-scale Aquaculture Enterprise in Ghana; a case study of Sunyani Municipality
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In the summary section, international currencies should be used instead of local currency. The purpose of the study is not given clearly. The purpose should be more explicitly mentioned as superficial. The second figure cannot be understood. Table 3 cannot be understood. Please explain all equations and formulas. All aquaculture production values in the region would be appropriate. In particular, the total production amounts of enterprises surveyed will be useful. Analyzes throughout the study should be made in dollars, which is an international currency rather than a local currency. Comparisons should be made with similar studies. Discussion part should be add on this research paper.	
Minor REVISION comments	More recent aquaculture data should also be used in introduction part.	
Optional/General comments	The English of the text should be reviewed again.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Önder Yildirim
Department, University & Country	Mugla Sitki Kocman University, Turkey