SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_48797
Title of the Manuscript:	Allelopathic Potential of Artemisia herba-alba on Germination and Seedling growth of Raphanus sativus and Trigonella
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

a foenum-graecum



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agree highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Please use the right botanical nomenclature (it is missing the author in the name of plants).	
	Abstract: Please check the formatting of the text; there are paragraphs that begin with loose sentences. I suggest checking the GUIDELINE FOR AUTHORS (available in <u>http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/59/authors-instruction</u>).	
	Pay attention with grammar.	
	Introduction: Please check the formatting of the text.	
	I suggest rewrite this whole topic because there are many ideas repeated in several paragraphs that can be united in one. It is necessary that exist cohesion between the paragraphs.	
	The justification of the work is not clear.	
	According to GUIDELINE FOR AUTHORS, "In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets".	
	Methodology: What it means the sentence "Each treatment three Petri dishes (three replicates) "? It was used 3 petri dishes only for each treatment or each treatment was conducted in triplicate? Remember that was the information in the text that was used 12 petri dishes for each treatment, so the sentence above needs to be rewritten.	
	Why the seeds was maintained at 21°C and not in room temperature?	
	Results: According to GUIDELINE FOR AUTHORS, "Tables & figures should be placed inside the text. Tables and figures should be presented as per their appearance in the text". Please, correct that.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The whole text needs a thorough review in terms of formatting and punctuation. There are paragraphs that begin with the continuation of the sentence of the previous paragraph. In addition, it is necessary that the work follow the rules contained in the GUIDELINE FOR AUTHORS (references, citation inside the text and place of the tables, mostly).	

eed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and anuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write



SDI Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manus his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Schirley Costalonga
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo, Brazil

d with reviewer, correct the manuscript and uscript. It is mandatory that authors should write