SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_48643
Title of the Manuscript:	Phaeophytin a and Triterpenoids from Brachystelma Togoense Schltr, a Nigerian Medicinal Herb
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline) PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This work presents interesting results for Brachystelma togoense schtlr (Apocynaceae). The idea is good, but it needs to be improved.	
	Introduction: could be more argumentative.	
	2.1 Collection The aerial parts of Brachystelma togoense were collected in April 2018 from Ugbokolo forest, in Okpokwu local government area of Benue State-Nigeria. Why April? If I do a sampling in December, for example, what can we expect in terms of constituents distribution? the same behavior?	
	The results from: NMR, HREIMS / Agilent Technologies 6550 iFunnel Q-TOF LC/MS, JASCO P-1020 polarimeter and the infrared spectra all results must be presented and discussed.	
	Why the combination dichloromethane and methanol?	
	Did you find only 3 main compounds and other secondary metabolites? nothing? questionable.	
	4. Results and Discussion (you have to bring a consistent argumentation please, show your nice results a rich discussion is expected)	
	Conclusion: Please, improve. You have a nice work and you have to "sell" better your results.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018) Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marcelo Barcellos da Rosa
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Santa Maria, Brazil

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)