



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Orthopaedic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORR_48589
Title of the Manuscript:	Lisfranc Fracture: Bidirectional Cuneiform Dislocation with Successful Closed Reduction: A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>1. Suggest that the title be: COMPLEX LISFRANC-DISLOCATION OF THE FOOT. The injury is unique and it has not been reported in the English literature as far as I am aware. In general the commonly used term for such 'extended' injuries are called complex LISFRANC INJURIES. It will be easy for this case to be accessed from the literature by using the term 'complex'.</p> <p>2. Please do take the article for grammar and writing style. The article will sound much better.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Motsitsi Nkosana
Department, University & Country	University of Pretoria, South Africa