SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics	
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_47921	
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE STUDY OF FAILURE RATE OF BANK'S ATM : LOG NORMAL DISTRIBUTION APPRAOCH	
Type of the Article	Original Research Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
	Noviewer & Commission	highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments Minor REVISION comments	This research determined timetofailurerateandnumberofsuccessfultransactionofselected banks in Nigeria, usingLognormaldistribution.Transformationtechniquewasappliedtothe	TIIS/TICL TECUDACK FICTO
	log-normal model to obtain a quadratic equation or polynomial regression that assisted in determining the parameters of the log-normal model. Also, one-way ANOVA was used to test for equality of the mean (or average) time to failure rate andmeannumberofsuccessful service time of the banks. The research fitted the log-normal models of the banks and the result showed that GT-Bank model has the highest variation of 90.3% for number of successful service time (t), while Fidelity bank model has the highest variation of 56.6%for time offailureratewiththehelpofSPSS21statisticalsoftware. Theone-wayANOVAresult of the number of successful service time (min) showed a significant difference. The Tukey comparison tests showed that GT bank is significant at (5%or10%)fromotherswhileUBA bank is significant at 10% from others. Hence, the number of successful service time (min) were not the sameforallthefivebanks. Thenumberofsuccessfulservicetime(min)wasthe same for other banks except UBA). The one- way ANOVA result of the banksinnumberof Time to Failure (t) (min) showed no significant difference among the five banks.	
	In my opinion, the paper is well written and organized. The work of the paper is correct. However, there are some comments to improve the quality of the paper which are given as follows: In the introduction part, the author should give more background works in details about advantages of the proposed method over the existing methods Some remarks on the computation complexity of the obtained results should be given.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Created by: EA Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Grienggrai Rajchakit
Department, University & Country	Maejo University, Thailand

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)