



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPCB_48744
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors Associated with Access barriers to Prevention of Mother to Child Transmission of Human Immune Deficiency Virus Services in Private Hospitals in Enugu State, South East, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The ABSTRACT is not completed to the style of the journal. The INTRODUCTION is quite long BUT the subject matter demands such a presentation and is ACCEPTABLE. REFERENCES in the text are not according to style of the journal. Should be in brackets [5] and NOT superscripts. Authors need to define PMTCT when used in the ABSTRACT . PMTCT does not make a good KEY WORD because it appears to be an acronym unique to this paper only. HIV would make a good KEY WORD for the paper. There are typographical errors that should be corrected (i.e. line 48, 76 etc).	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	This is an important study area and subject matter. The authors present useful and interesting information. TABLES are useful, informative, and support the DISCUSSION. The paper can be accepted following correction of revisions above.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ronald Bartzatt
Department, University & Country	University of Nebraska, USA