



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_47239
Title of the Manuscript:	Tree Species Diversity and Population of Ikogosi Warm Spring and Arinta Waterfall Watersheds: Implication for Sustainable Ecotourism
Type of the Article	Original research paper

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The data is so little, more of students' project report rather than a paper. There should be many results that can be obtained from these datasets, only the authors are lack of data manipulation. The authors can include Importance value index (Ivi), population structure, species density, and other indicators to make the paper strong and worth to read. Table 2 presented data as mean but no std given.</p> <p>Background of the study sites is not sufficient, are they planted forest or naturally grown? How far from each other? Where is Ipole-Iloro-Ekiti, is it one of the study site? Why the title said Arinta waterfall but the results bear different name?</p> <p>The sampling procedure is also very confusing. The plot establishment is not clearly demarcated. Two hectares in each site or 1 ha in each site? Did you do transect plots or square plots? Maybe the authors should draw a diagram showing the sample plots. Label P1 in equation 1 is wrong, should be p; Equation 2 is really out of order. Sorenson index is wrong interpreted – c is supposed to be the number of common species between 2 sites. Typo error can be found throughout. What is carbon IV oxide (Introduction - paragraph 1)</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rozainah Mohamad Zakaria</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University Of Malaya, Malaysia</b>