



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_45429
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Dietary Tapioca Levels on Growth Performance and Meat Characteristics of Pigs
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<input type="checkbox"/> References are not consistent: Line 24 and throughout the article. <input type="checkbox"/> Line 44: what are you replacing with tapioca in your study? <input type="checkbox"/> What is the objective of the study? <input type="checkbox"/> Table1- what type of molasses did you use? Liquid molasses? <input type="checkbox"/> Line 65 – vit-min is not included in the table. <input type="checkbox"/> Consistent throughout the article: Pigs or swine? <input type="checkbox"/> Line 127 to 133 repetition from Line 20 to 30 <input type="checkbox"/> Line 40- did you do cost benefit of feeding tapioca? <input type="checkbox"/> Table 2- data presented is from which stage? Line 54 and what do you mean by average FCR?	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	It is a good paper the only issue I had with it is the English and I hope after effecting all the corrections that I have suggested, it can be published.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) Submit Animal Ethics committee Reference Number.	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ronald Thomas
Department, University & Country	Agricultural Research Council, South Africa