

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

Performances of rabbit fed diets with graded levels of bean offal (*phaseolus vulgaris*)

Abstract

Aims: The aim of the study was to increase rabbit production by evaluating the effects of bean offal on the growth performance of the New Zealand rabbits breed and to reduce the economic costs of feed.

Study design: The study was conducted in a completely randomized design

Methodology: For this purpose, forty-eight (48) rabbits of 50 days old were divided into four equal groups each containing 12 rabbits and into sub-groups of 3 rabbits per cage, depending on the rate of incorporation of bean offal (0, 15, 22.5, and 30% respectively for T0, T15, T22.5 and T30) in a completely randomized design. The diets were iso-caloric and iso-nitrogenous.

Results: The results obtained showed that there was no significance ($p > 0.05$) different among treatment means in final live weight, weekly live weight and feed conversion ratio (FCR), however, feed intake was significantly higher in the control diet T0 ($3251 \pm 554.96g$) as compared to T22.5 ($31412 \pm 554.96g$). Weight gain of rabbit fed diet T22.5 was higher ($3173 \pm 284.93g$) as compared to those fed on control diet T0 that recorded the lowest values ($2986.67 \pm 284.93g$). Cost of production per kg of live weight was significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) with rabbit fed on control diet T0 (7835.79 ± 278.62 FCFA) whereas the lowest value was recorded with rabbit under diet T30 (7232.06 ± 278.62 FCFA).

Conclusion: It is concluded that up to 22% of bean offal could be included in rabbit diet to reduce cost of feed and improve performances.

Keywords: bean offal, diets, growth, rabbit and rabbit.

INTRODUCTION

There is limited access to protein sources in most countries of the sub-Saharan Africa and Cameroon in particular. In Cameroon, animal protein intake is approximately 17 kg/caput/year (Awono et al 2005) which is less than the 42 kg/caput/year recommended by the Food and Agricultural Organisation (FAO) and the World Health Organisation (WHO). To cover the gap, there is an urgent need to increase livestock in the country. This necessitated the continuous research into more cost-effective systems for meat production (Onakpa et al 2011) and rabbit production appear as one of

32 | ~~themostthe most~~ suitable way. In fact, rabbit_have_good attributes which include high efficiency in
 33 | converting forage to meat, short gestation period, high prolificacy, relatively low cost of production, high
 34 | nutritional quality. Moreover, rabbit ~~possessthepossess the~~ ability to digest large amount of fibrous feed in
 35 | the diet which can be used properly to reduce the cost of production (Mennani et al 2017). Despite these
 36 | advantages, rabbit production in Cameroon is still critically low because of unsuitable production
 37 | technique, unavailability of parent stock and high feed cost. In rabbit intensive farming, feed accounts for
 38 | 60 to 70% of production costs (Oseni et al 2014). The use of unconventional foodstuffs is one of the
 39 | alternatives that can be adopted to reduce production costs (De Blas et al., 2015). Economically, it would
 40 | provide the poorer strata of the population with cheap access to animal proteins. In ~~fact,previousfact,~~
 41 | ~~previous~~ research reveals that the utilization of agricultural by product in rabbit diet lead to a reduction in
 42 | production cost without impairing growth performances (Mennani et al 2017;Kadi et al 2017). Furthermore,
 43 | as reported by Asar et al (2010) the used of pea offal and hay in rabbit diet reduce the production cost of
 44 | the ration and improve the feed conversion ratio. In Cameroon, leguminous plant such as bean is
 45 | abundantly produced (51x103 tons/year) (INS, 2015), the offal is generally abandoned in fields or
 46 | sometimes are burn after the harvest. Feedipedia (2018), reported that bean offal contains 7.1 % of crude
 47 | proteins, 41.0 % of crude fiber, 8.9 % of ash.Bean offal properly used, can be a good source fiber which
 48 | ~~willreduceewill reduce~~ production cost. This study was aimed to investigate the ~~effectofeffect of~~ bean offal
 49 | on growth performances and cost of production of rabbit.

50 MATERIALS AND METHODS

51 | The study was conducted using forty-eight (48)~~healthy~~ healthy, New Zealand rabbit breed of 50 (fifty)
 52 | days old and weighing between 1.1 and 1.2 Kg. Before the arrival of the animals the breeding house, the
 53 | metabolic cages and all equipment such as drinkers, feeders, and buckets were thoroughly cleaned,
 54 | washed and disinfected with Cresyl[®]. These rabbits were randomly allocated to 4 groups of 12 animals
 55 | each. Bean offal was purchase in Badjoun rural organization farm directly after harvest. Four rations were
 56 | formulated containing 0% (control feed), 15%, 22.5%, and 30% bean offal representing T0, T15, T22.5
 57 | and T30 respectively.

58 | The composition of the various diets fed to the rabbits is shown in Table1.

59 | **Table 1: Composition of experimental diet**

Ingredients	T ₀	T ₁₅	T _{22.5}	T ₃₀
Maize	30	28.5	28.5	29
Wheat bran	5	7	6.6	8
<i>Pennisetum purpurum</i>	30	15	7.5	0
Bean offal	0	15	22.5	30
Soya bean cake	5	7	7	7
Cotton cake	6.5	6	6	7
Palm cake	11	10	10	6
Fish meal	3	3	3	4.5
Lime stone	0.5	1	1	1.5
Premix	5	5	5	5

Oil	4	2.5	2	2
Total	100	100	100	100
Chemical composition				
Metabolisableenergy	2586	2587	2580	2610
Digestible energy	3150	3154	3200	3200
Crudeprotein	17.4	17.4	17.3	17.7
Cellulose	15 .00	15.30	15.8	15.7
Prize/kg(FCFA)	241	231	230	227

60

61 The rabbits were allowed to access water and feed *ad libitum*. The experiment was a complete
62 randomized design. Eighteen weaned rabbits, of average weight 536g were allotted to four treatments,
63 with six rabbits per treatment diet.

64

65 The animals were weighed weekly and feed intake was measured daily. Feed conversion ratio was then
66 calculated from the data obtained.

67 **Economic analysis**

68

69 Economic analysis consisted of estimating the economic benefit of incorporating bean offal in rabbit diet.
70 Only the direct variable costs are thus taken into account here. The characteristics evaluated were price of
71 kg of diet, price of feed consumption and prize of kg of live weight.

72 **Statistical analysis**

73 At the end of the experiment, the different results were processed using the Microsoft Excel spreadsheet.
74 The statistical analysis and comparison of averages between the different dietary schemes (control and
75 those based on bean offal) were conducted by means of one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test using
76 the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software (SPSS version 21). Duncan test were performed
77 if the ANOVA test displayed a significant difference from the error risk of 5% ($p < 0.05$). Pearson test was
78 used to determine the relation between growth parameter and incorporation level of offal bean.

79 **Ethical approval**

80 The present study was conducted after approval of Institutional Animal Ethics Committee of Dschang
81 University, Cameroon.

82 **Results and discussion**

83 The mean feed intake, body weight, total weight gain and feed conversion ratio (FCR) as affected by bean
84 offal are presented in Table 2. Generally, it appears that apart from feed intake, all other characteristics
85 were not significantly affected ($p > 0.05$) with the bean offal levels in the diet.

86 **Table 2: Growth performances of growing rabbit graded levels of bean offal**

Characteristics	Diets	SEM	p
-----------------	-------	-----	---


	T ₀	T ₁₅	T _{22.5}	T ₃₀		
Feedintake (g)	32513.67 ^b	32184.67 ^{ab}	31412 ^a	31859.33 ^{ab}	554.96	0.004
Body weight (g)	7812.5 ^a	7783.33 ^a	7791.67 ^a	7820.83 ^a	227.88	0.998
Body weight gain (g)	2986.67 ^a	3080.00 ^a	3173.33 ^a	3010.00 ^a	284.93	0.891
Daily weight gain (g)	53.33 ^a	55.00 ^a	56.67 ^a	53.75 ^a	5.09	0.891
Feed conversion ratio	10.95 ^a	10.45 ^a	9.95 ^a	10.81 ^a	1.14	0.770

87 a,b: mean with the same superscript are not significantly different at 0.05 significant level; SEM: standard errors of
88 mean; p: p-value

89 Rabbit fed on the control diet recorded the highest feed intake ($p > 0.05$) as compared to rabbit fed on diet
90 containing bean offal. This ~~decreased in~~ trend is confirmed by the regression curve presented
91 in figure 1. This curve reveals that, 60% of variation recorded in feed intake can be attributed to bean offal
92 level in the diet ($R^2 = 0.61$). This feed intake reduction can be attributed to the high concentration of tannin
93 and lignin present in bean offal. In fact, tannin and lignin are antinutritional factors in agricultural by
94 products which deprived intake (Myrieet al 2008; Mennaniet al 2017). This result corroborated with those
95 of El-Gendy et al. (2002) and Mennaniet al 2017 that recorded a decrease in feed intake in rabbits when
96 fed with graded level of sorghum offal and apricot kernel respectively. In contrary, Fatma et al (2014) and
97 Omer et al (2017) recorded no significant difference between control diet and those containing offal in
98 rabbit. This difference may be attributed to the high incorporation level and type of agricultural by product
99 used.

Comment [e1]: What is this

100




101

102 **Figure 1: Relationships feed intake in rabbit and level of incorporation of bean offal**

103 The inclusion of *bean offal* in the diet did not significantly affected body weight, body weight gain and feed
104 conversion ratio. Similar results have also been reported by other authors (Omer and Badr 2013;


105 Mennani *et al* 2017). However, it tends to increase body weight and body weight gain as compared to the
106 control diet (Table 2). This trend is ~~illustrated in~~ [illustrated in](#) figure 2. The parabolic shape shows that from
107 0 to 22.5%, body weight increases with the level of bean offal in the diet up to 30% it tends to decrease
108 weight. This result is in line with those of (Omer *et al* 2011) which obtained an improved in rabbit weight
109 when fed diet containing Bersem offal as compared to the control diet.



110

111 **Figure 2: Correlation between final body weight and bean offal incorporation level in rabbit diet**

112 Feed conversion was not significantly affected ($p > 0.05$) by bean offal incorporation in the diet. However, it
113 tends to decrease with the level of offal in the diet. The illustration of this trend is presented in figure 3
114 showing that from 0 to 22.5% bean offal decreased FCR but above this level, FCR increases instead.



115

116 **Figure 3: Correlation between feed conversion ratio and bean offal incorporation level in rabbit**
117 **diet**

118 Feeding rabbits with bean offal at 22.5% in the diet reduced FCR by 10% when bean offal was as
119 compared to control. We can therefore suggest that, feed efficiency is improved by bean offal as source of
120 fiber. This finding is [in line](#) with those of Koralgama *et al.* (2008) who reported that leguminous offal

121 (bean and groundnut) in rabbit diet reduced feed conversion ratio. This can be explained by the reduction
 122 in digestive transit time and increase in caeca microbiota as reported by Giderne *et al* (2002); Bennegadi
 123 *et al* (2003) and Fatma *et al* (2014).

124 **Economics analysis**


125 Effects of bean offal on feed cost of production of rabbit are presented in table 3. Feed consumption
 126 decreases significantly ($p < 0.05$) with the incorporation of bean offal in the diet.

127 **Table 3: Economic analysis of rabbit production as affected by incorporation of bean offal**
 128 **in the diet**

Characteristics	Rations				SEM	p
	T ₀	T ₁₅	T _{22.5}	T ₃₀		
Price of feed (FCFA/kg)	241	231	230	227	/	
Feed consumption cost	7835.79 ^c	7434.66 ^b	7224.76 ^a	7232.07 ^a	278.62	0.000
Feed cost for production of Kg of live weight (FCFA)	2639.36 ^a	2414.26 ^a	2289.19 ^a	2454.62 ^a	271.41	0.548

129 a,b: mean with the same superscript are not significantly different at 0.05 significant level SEM: standard
 130 errors of mean; p: p-value

131 The lowest feed consumption cost was recorded with diet T22.5 and T30 containing 22.5 and 30% bean
 132 offal respectively as compared to the rest of the treatment. In contrary, cost of production was not
 133 significantly affected ($p > 0.05$) by the level of bean offal in the diet although a slight decrease was recorded in
 134 production cost when the rate of incorporation of bean offal increased (Figure 4). Diet T22.5 decreased
 135 feed production cost by 13% as compared to the control diet. Moreover, the relation between bean offal
 136 ratio and cost of production was very high. As presented in figure 4, the correlation coefficient between
 137 these two variables was $R^2 = 0.97$ meaning that 97% of variation observed in feed cost of production are
 138 related to bean offal.



139

140 **Figure 4: Correlation between feed cost of production per kg of live weight and bean offal**
 141 **incorporation level in rabbit diet**

142 The utilization of agricultural by product lead to the reduction in the cost of production off rabbit maet.
 143 Similar results were reported by El-Medany et al_(2008) and later Omar et al (2011). These authors
 144 recorded that, incorporation of red bean and peanut offal in the diet resulted to a decrease in production
 145 cost and were therefore more economically efficient (increase breeder net return). This improvement is
 146 due to the combined effect of this ingredient on the low cost of the diet and the benefit on digestion via the
 147 caeca microbiota.

148 CONCLUSION

149 The result of the study indicated that 22.5% of bean offal could be included in the diet of weaned rabbits
 150 without adverse effects on performance.

151 Rabbits fed on bean offal inclusion level of 22.5 % ~~recorded~~recorded the highest weight gain and
 152 cheapest cost of production.

153 Farmers should therefore take advantage of the availability of bean offal to lower the cost of feed and also
 154 increase their profit margin.

155 REFERENCES

156 **Abdel-Azeem A S, Abdel-Azim A M, Darwish A Aand Omar E M 2007** Body weight and carcass traits in
 157 four pure breeds of rabbits and their crosses under Egyptian environmental conditions. The 5th Inter.
 158 Congress on Rabbit Production in Hot Climates., Hurgada, Egypt, 67- 80.
 159 **Abo EL-MaatyHayam MA, Abo Egla EL-Samra HA, Qota E.M and EL-DesoukySheren M 2014**
 160 Performance and economical efficiency of growing New Zealand White rabbits fed cucumber (*Curcumis*

161 *sativus* l) veins straw without or with some feed additives under Egyptian conditions. *Egyptian Poultry*
162 *Sciences.*, 34 (2) : 413-431.

163 **Akinlade JA, Smith JW, Raji AM, Busari AA, Adekunle IO and Adewumi MK 2005.** Effect of two
164 cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) fodder cultivars as supplements on voluntary intake, milk yield and manure
165 production of Bunaji cows. *Agriculture and Rural Development in the Tropics and Subtropics* 106, 105–
166 112.

167 **Anele UY, Arigbede OM, Südekum KH, Ike KA, Olanite JA, Amole GA, Dele PA and Jolaosho AO**
168 **2010** Effects of processed cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.) haulms as a feed supplement on
169 voluntary intake, utilization and blood profile of West Africa dwarf sheep fed a basal diet of *Pennisetum*
170 *purpureum* in the dry season. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 159: 10–17.

171 **Baloyi JJ, Ngongoni NT and Hamudikuwanda H 2008** The effect of feeding forage legumes as
172 nitrogen supplement on growth performance of sheep. *Tropical Animal Health and Production* 40: 457–
173 462

174 **Baloyi JJ, Ngongoni NT and Hamudikuwanda H 2006** Voluntary intake, nitrogen metabolism and rumen
175 fermentation patterns in sheep given cowpea, silverleaf desmodium and fine-stem stylo legume hays as
176 supplementary feed to natural pasture hay. *African Journal of Range and Forage Science* 23: 191–195.

177 **Belhadi S and Baselga M 2003** Effets non génétiques directes sur les caractères de croissance d'une
178 lignée de lapin. 10èmes Journées De Recherche Cunicole 19 & 20 Novembre Paris, 2003.

179 **Bennegadi N, Fonty G, Miller L, Gidenne T and Licois D 2003** Effects of Age and Dietary Fiber Level
180 on Caecal Microbial Communities of Conventional and Specific Pathogen-Free of rabbits. *Microbiology*
181 *and Ecology Health Disease*, 5: 23-32

182 **DalleZotte A 2014** Rabbit farming for meat purposes. *Animal frontiers*, oct. 2014. Vol.4, n° 4.

183 **Fatma A, Elgohary Hayam MA and Abo EL- Maaty 2014** *Phaseolus vulgaris* Straw as a Substitute for
184 Clover Hay in Rabbit Diets with Prebiotic Supplementation and Feed Restriction Interaction: Influence on
185 Nutrient Utilization, Caecal Activity, Carcass Yield and Blood Plasma Constituents. *Global Veterinaria* 13
186 (6): 1010-1021.

187 **DalleZotte A 2014** Rabbit farming for meat purposes. *Animal frontiers*, oct. 2014. Vol.4, n° 4.

188 **El-Gendy KM, Abdel-Baki SM, Sarhan MA and Moawd RI 2002** Evaluation of sweet lupin (*lupinus albus*)
189 as green forage for sheep and rabbits 3rd Sci. Congress Rabbit Production in Hot Climates. 8-11 October,
190 677-692.

191 **El-Medany N M, Hashem NA and Abdi-Azeem F 2008** Effect of incorporating dried carrot processing
192 waste in growing rabbit diets. *Egyptian J. Nutrition and Feeds*, 11 (1): 25-37.

193 **Gidenne T 2015** *Le lapin. De la biologie à l'élevage.* Quae Éditions, Versailles, France, 270 p.

194 **Guindjombi S 2007** *Cuniculture périurbaine dans les Niayes : situation actuelle et perspectives de*
195 *développement (Doctoral dissertation, Université Cheikh Anta Diop de Dakar)* 117 p.

196 **Hamed Abdel-Aziz, Ali Omer, Mohamed Farouk El Karamany, Sawsan Mansour Ahmed, Soha**
197 **Sayed Abdel-Magid and Bakry Ahmed Bakry 2017** Using field crop by-products for feeding
198 rabbits. *bioscience research*, 2017 14 (2): 224-233.

199 **Institut National de la Statistique (INS) 2015** Annuaire
200 2016 www.stat.cm/downloads/2016/annuaire2016/Chapitre14_Agriculture.pdf.
201 **Myrie SB, Bertolo RF, Sauer WC and Ball RO 2008** Effect of common antinutritive factors and fibrous
202 feedstuffs in pig diets on amino acid digestibilities with special emphasis on threonine. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 86:
203 609- 619.
204 **Omer H A A, Ali F A F and Ibrahim Sha M 2011** Strawberry by-products as a partial replacement of
205 clover hay in rabbit diets. *American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci.*, 11 (6): 815-823.
206 **Omer HAA and BadrAzza MM 2013** Growth performance of New Zealand White rabbits fed diets
207 containing different levels of pea straw. *Life Science Journal*, 10 (2): 1815-1822.
208 **Zerrouki-Daoudi N 2006**. Caractérisation du lapin de la population locale : Evaluation des performances
209 de reproduction des lapines en élevage rationnel. Thèse de doctorat en biologie animale, faculté des
210 sciences biologiques et sciences agronomiques, université Mouloud Mammeri (TIZI OUZOU), 131p.
211 **Kadi S A, Mouhous A, Djellal F, Senhadji Y, Tiguem N et Gidene T 2017** Feuilles sèches de Figuier
212 et foin de Sulla (*Hedysarum flexuosum*) en alimentation du lapin en engraissement. *Livestock Research for*
213 *Rural Development*. Volume 29, Article #86. Retrieved March 9, 2019, from
214 <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd29/5/kadi29086.html>
215 **Mennani A, Arbouche R, Arbouche Y, Montaigne E, Arbouche F and Arbouche H S 2017** Effects of
216 incorporating agro-industrial by-products into diet of New Zealand rabbits: Case of rebus of date and
217 apricot kernel meal. *Veterinary world*, 10(12), 1456-1463.
218
219