



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_48769
Title of the Manuscript:	Vaginal Leiomyoma in a 5 Year Old Bitch- a Case Report
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>To authors, The theme is interesting. I have some advice.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract: "The management of this condition should be through surgical excision and or radiation therapy if removal of tumor and or its metastatic foci is not possible." In this case, you surgically removed the tumor and not used radiation. Thus, you had better describe the "fact" only, deleting the statement as to radiation. 2. Line 73; "mass" 3. Please describe if urinary symptoms and signs were present and if some caution was paid not to injure the lower urinary tract in the surgery. 4. Line 82: "Haematology and Histopathology revealed PCV of 37% and severe smooth muscle tissue proliferation which confirms vaginal leiomyoma. The dog recovered smoothly from anesthesia after the surgery. No post-operative complications were observed. The surgical site healed completely after two weeks and sutures were removed.": This should be moved to "Case last". 5. English should be revised. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shigeki Matsubara
Department, University & Country	Jichi Medical University, Japan