



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_47733
Title of the Manuscript:	The Role of, Genetic, Agronomic and Environmental Factors on Grain Protein Content of Tetraploid Wheat (<i>Triticum turgidum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	<u>Review Paper</u>

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Line 71-72: explain the gap in term of protein content or nutrition in Ethiopia Line 86: how much is seed rate (low or high) – explain in seed per station or density per hectare Line 104: Explain why conventional tillage has higher grain protein content than tillage condition? Is there competition effect? Figure 2 (Line 141): It is the first figure, please revise and indicate if it is A or B (line 151) Line 171: Zinc is the only one? If not, please name others and show the benefits of the most import such Zinc. Line 180: What happen to other micronutrients? Is there any difference when apply soil or foliar based? 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Revise all citation with more than one author (<i>et al</i> not in italic) Look for other keywords different from title words Mention in abstract that the study was based in revision of literature Why titles changed to italic from 4.4 above? Title: Remove common after role of, 	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is well written and important information is there. Most of the reference are quite old. I suggest update some of the reference to 2014 and above.	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eduardo Mulima
Department, University & Country	Agricultural Research Institute of Mozambique, Mozambique