



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_48229
Title of the Manuscript:	Panax sp. in Tuyen Quang, North Vietnam – A Potential Plant for Poverty Reduction
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>What empirical evidence supports the view of respondents in the selection of the study areas purported to have a natural distribution of the Tuyen Quang Ginseng? Enrich it with a literary support to make it more academic. Can you enlighten readers also of the soil/forest type and weather conditions in the study areas?</p> <p>What are the projected economic values of this new Ginseng in relation with the others already discovered in Vietnam?</p> <p>What are the pharmaceutical and antioxidant properties?</p> <p>Providing answers to these questions would nourish the paper and enhance its academic rigor.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	The general syntax and grammar of the manuscript need to be improved.	
Optional/General comments	It is an important discovery and needs to be published after the few corrections have been made. The methods, results and discussions are very scholarly and merits publication.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dickson Adom
Department, University & Country	Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana