



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_48417
Title of the Manuscript:	The Pathogenesis and Immune-response in Dengue haemorrhagic fever
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. From the ABSTRACT should result the aim of the study. 2. Regarding "Weiskopf et al demonstrated that live-attenuated tetravalent-vaccine opposed dengue-initiated CD8+ T cell responses against NS3 and NS 5 protein[57].", at reference number 57 is M.M. Mangada, A.L. Rothman; Weiskopf is at 55 position. Please recontrol all the References! 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Typo-errors present, please recheck the manuscript. (eg.: "females.The reasons for this is largely unknown but the more robust immune response in females, resulting in females to be more prone to develop greater inflammatory response or higher susceptibility to capillary permeability could be the reason.."; 2. Correct the grammar: "severe and lead (led) to about 22,000 deaths annually"; "certain different strains of the DENV also seems (seem)..." ; "But, more needs to be done." – change the expression in one more scientifically correct, for example "further studies have to be done..."; "leakages and bleeding was seen in the majority of cases"; this is not an exhaustive list, all the manuscript has to be correct. 3. Please pay attention at the alignment of the References section and at the order of information. For example, the year of publication once appear after the pages number, once after the author's names. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Moise Adela Ramona
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine of Cluj-Napoca , Romania