SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_48429
Title of the Manuscript:	SOCIO-CULTURAL DETERMINANTS OF SPREAD OF EBOLA OUTBEAK IN WEST AFRICA 2014- 2016: LESSONS LEARNT
Type of the Article	Commentary

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty</u>', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The subject of the Commentary is appropriate, relevant, and needed. The information of the Commentary is useful and of interest to readers. Style of "Commentaries" are indicated for this journal at http://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRId/about/submissions#authorGuidelines The authors have 18 references, 3187 words, but lack 2 figures or tables. I urge the authors to compile some information from their references to produce 2 tables or 2 figures. This will meet the criteria of the journal. 8 . Commentaries / Opinion Articles: An opinion-based article on a topical issue of broad interest which is intended to engender discussion. We advise a length of 2500-3500 words, plus 2-3 figures and/or tables, and 15-20 key references.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ronald Bartzatt
Department, University & Country	University of Nebraska, USA

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)