



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_46121
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECT OF ETHANOLIC EXTRACT OF TRIDAX PROCUMBENS ON POTASSIUM BROMATE INDUCED TOXICITY IN THE KIDNEY OF WISTAR RATS.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sd-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Since the kidney weight before treatment could not be measured, you should not interpret the results as increasing in its weight after treatment.</li> <li>2. Though your results show that the average kidney weight of rats in group B was higher than the average kidney weight of control group, as seen from table 1, the initial average body weight of rats in group B was highest and; in relative to their body weight, the initial average kidney weight of group B should be possibly heavier than the kidneys of other groups. Based on this fact, the results in table 2 might mean nothing.</li> <li>3. Additionally, the histological findings of kidney of group B as shown in figure 1 seem not much different from the findings in other groups. Only mild distortion of glomeruli was seen.</li> <li>4. Furthermore, the histological finding could not explain why the kidney weight was increased or heavier from the toxicity of potassium bromate.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Veeravan Lekskulchai</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Srinakharinwirot University, Thailand</b>