



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_48125
Title of the Manuscript:	SCREENING OF ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY OF VARIOUS EXTRACTS OF THE STEM JUSTICIA GENDARUSSA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>References in the text are not done to the style of the journal.</p> <p>There are typographical errors in the text that must be corrected.</p> <p>The CONCLUSION can mention specifically the general outcome of the Study (the current Conclusion sounds like a philosophical statement not Relevant to the data).</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>The Figures and Tables are useful, explanatory, and well presented.</p> <p>The subject matter is relevant and interesting.</p> <p>Following corrections of revisions stated above the paper is suitable for publication.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Ronald Bartzatt</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Nebraska, USA</i>