



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJRIMPS_48283 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Evaluation of the disintegration properties of Khaya senegalensis gum using paracetamol tablets |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Author on page 8 line n 170 mentioned the mechanism of Disintegration as welling but no data regarding swelling behaviour has be presented. 2) On same page line no 178 author has mentioned it can be sed as immediate relase disintegrant but there is no comparison with immediate release disintegrant,data is presented only for conventional release disintegrant | |
| Minor REVISION comments | <p>Page no 7 line no 140 author has said gum has better flow properties than tracaganth , as both have readings in good flow properties as per USP , you can say it as comparable rather than better</p> <p>On Page 3 there in no mention of concentration of Khaya senegalensis gum and conc of tracaganth used, whether both were used in same conc what was % of Disintegrant added in formulation</p> | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | <i>Shilpa P Chaudhari</i> |
| Department, University & Country | <i>Savitribai Phule Pune University, India</i> |