



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Endocrinology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRE_48091
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF ACUTE AND CHRONIC TOXICITY OF TARTRAZINE (E102) ON STERIOD REPRODUCTIVE HORMONES OF ALBINO RATS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract. Study Design. Line 3 The rats used were weighing approx. 0.15 kg. It should be written as, " The rats used for the study weighed 150gm approximately"</p> <p>Last line- 'group were'. Check for grammar here.</p> <p>What was the criterion for selecting both male and female rats for the study?</p> <p>Place and Duration- Study was carried 'within'.... It should be 'over a period of 12 month'. Pl correct.</p> <p>Just check the grammatical aspects of the abstract once again.</p> <p>Why the protocol approval number for this study in not mentioned in the manuscript?</p> <p>Authors should consult a native English Speaker to proofread this manuscript. This will help to improve the MS in terms of language and grammar .</p> <p>Tables. In all the tables the levels of significance are notified by the letters a,b,c,d,e,f etc. But while writing them with the readings, they should be separated by commas. See all tables carefully.</p> <p>Provided the manuscript is properly revised keeping in view the above mentioned concerns, the revised version can be considered once again.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	NIL	
Optional/General comments	NIL	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alok Nahata
Department, University & Country	Ying Zhi Agricultural and Industries Sdn Bhd, Malaysia