



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_48931
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF TRICHODERMA ENHANCED COMPOSTING TECHNOLOGY IN IMPROVING SOIL PRODUCTIVITY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract - make abstract like this: aim, place, duration, design of study, result, conclusion; leave the space before mentioning of values</p> <p>Background of study, Research questions, research objectives, justification – not required delete them as they are not there in author guide lines, combine and do that as Introduction</p> <p>Introduction - references to be numbered sequentially and to be given in reference section leave the space before mentioning of values</p> <p>Materials and Method – references to be numbered sequentially and to be given in reference section Give reference for the laboratory analysis of N, P, K, B, S, Zn, soil p^H and organic carbon leave the space before mentioning of values</p> <p>Result and Discussion - Leave the space before mentioning of units; The references mentioned in discussion to be sequentially numbered in square bracket</p> <p>Conclusion - To this only add recommendations also</p> <p>References - All the references mentioned in introduction, method and discussion sequentially arranged in references with numbers</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Required corrections are shown in relevant places	
Optional/General comments	A detailed study study	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prabha R
Department, University & Country	Dairy Science College, KVAFSU, India