



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_46504
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on the Antibacterial Activity and Chemical Composition of Methanol Extract of Cochlospermum Tinctorium Root
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Link between paragraph one and two (there is no great sequence between the two paragraphs ie line 42 and the first part of the introduction. Reread the introductory part and try to make it more cohesive and more fluid throughout the reading. ➤ State the full meaning of (<i>S. Aureus</i>) then subsequently, you can abbreviate. ➤ For the plant specimen, a specimen number should be mentioned if available. ➤ State the room temperature. ➤ Leave space before mentioning of the unit eg 2000ml be replaced with 2000 mL etc 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The authors did the work with interesting manner. The work done by this plant is good, but further it needs to explore more elaborately. ➤ A very good study but work on the grammatical/spelling errors as well as the referencing. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sara, Yahaya Galo
Department, University & Country	Umar Suleiman College of Education, Nigeria