

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_48910
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of some tomato genotypes in respect of tomato fruit borer infestation, growth parameter and some chemical
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 Author should avoid the use of subtitles in the Results. Author should summary the key findings in the conclusion and avoid using value/ figure to express the degree of research findings. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manus his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oshim, Ifeanyi Onyema
Department, University & Country	Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nigeria

al constituents

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

d with reviewer, correct the manuscript and uscript. It is mandatory that authors should write