



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_49197
Title of the Manuscript:	The effect of different energy source on the growth performance of Clarias gariepinus fingerlings
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Text should be in one font and all same size</p> <p>In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets</p> <p>Ethical approval of the study should be included</p> <p>Describing briefly Pearson's square method might be useful to the readers interested in replicating experiments</p> <p>Equations for SGR, FCR, PER, and ANPU are missing</p> <p>A brief description of the proximate analysis would be useful for readers interested in replicating experiments</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Byron Baron</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Centre of Molecular Medicine and Biobanking, Malta</i>