SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_ARJGO_47780**
Title of the Manuscript:	**ASSESSMENT OF THE EFFECT OF HORMONAL CONTRACEPTIVES ON URINARY TRACT INFECTION IN WOMEN IN PORT HARCOURT**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Results – Explanation of each table to be given individually following by Table. For example Table 1 results followed by Table 1, then Table 2 results followed by Table 2……etc. 2. There is no conclusion part in the manuscript, it is to be included. 3. References - Not in uniform format. There is a difference in the format from one to another reference. Reference 8 and 9, the journal was same published in different year but same volume and page number. How it is possible? 4. The manuscript was written poorly with a large number of grammatical and technical errors.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*

SDI Review Form 1.6

Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Mahendran Sekar***
Department, University & Country	***Universiti Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia***