



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_47780
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF THE EFFECT OF HORMONAL CONTRACEPTIVES ON URINARY TRACT INFECTION IN WOMEN IN PORT HARCOURT
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Line 28, refer to the guideline for citation. Make corrections throughout the manuscript. Add a paragraph of conclusions after discussion. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Line 63, do not start sentence with numbers. Make corrections throughout. Line 96, add respectively at the end of sentence. Line 132, it should be 30-39 years. Line 166, italicize <i>E. coli</i>. Line 190, delete 'be' used after could. Rephrase the sentences between lines 204-206 and 220-221. Line 210, use was for is. Line 229, use periurethral joined. Make corrections throughout. 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Line 93, try bacterial for bacteria. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:



SDI Review Form 1.6

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Vivek Kumar Singh</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Nepal</i>