



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_48683
Title of the Manuscript:	Mathematical Modeling of Yellow Fever Transmission Dynamics with Multiple Control Measures.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The writing style of the paper is very good. This paper is well presented and organized. The authors have given sufficient details for the experiment. Overall it is a good paper.</p> <p>Some improvements are suggested</p> <p>There are a few spelling mistakes in the paper. E.g. 'bitting' is properly spelt as 'biting' The layout of the paper needs to be improved. It would be nice if the authors can include a block diagram or a UML diagram that explains their model! (the steps and the algorithm!)</p> <p>The paper needs to be reformatted according to the journal. PLEASE KINDLY REFORMAT YOUR PAPER ACCORDING TO THE JOURNAL INSTRUCTIONS</p> <p>CAN THE SIZES OF THE GRAPHS BE INCREASED! THIS WOULD MAKE THEM CLEARER TO READ OVERALL THE PAPER NEEDS BETTER PRESENTATION WHICH SHOULD NOT BE A PROBLEM GIVEN ALL THE WORK THAT HAS BEEN DONE IN THE PAPER!!!</p> <p>I have not checked the equations. Can you kindly re-check them</p> <p>This work should cite other work e.g.</p> <p>MODELING VACCINE PREVENTABLE VECTOR-BORNE INFECTIONS: YELLOW FEVER AS A CASE STUDY by SILVIA MARTORANO RAIMUNDO*et al. I.e. this paper can be included in this study. I suggest that the authors have a look at this paper.</p> <p>The authors are kindly encouraged to correct the paper as stated and reformat it according to the ARJOM layout.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Anthony Spiteri Staines</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Malta, Malta</i>