



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_37720
Title of the Manuscript:	FUNGITOXIC POTENTIALS OF EXTRACTS OF PLANT ORIGIN AGAINST FUGAL ROOT ROT OF CASSAVA (<i>Manihot esculenta</i> Crantz) IN STORAGE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>7-8 : Harmonize the font and size of Piper guineense, Ocimum gratimum, Casia alata, and Tagetes erecta</p> <p>56: Why of TME 419 variety used?</p> <p>69: The rotted cassava roots used were naturally infected?</p> <p>74 : Delete e to write pathogenicity</p> <p>75: What is the age of the fresh healthy, washed and sterilized cassava roots used? Were harvested 10 or 12 months after planting? the diameter of cassava roots was identical ? Inoculation by injury or no injury?</p> <p>117: are they ten uninfected cassava roots used of the same variety (TME 419 variety)? Were they harvested under the same conditions?</p> <p>129 : Review the font and size</p> <p>163-166 : Review the font and size of table 1</p> <p>183-186 : Harmonize the font and size of table 2</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Joseph Mpika
Department, University & Country	Marien Ngouabi University, Republic of Congo