



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_48457
Title of the Manuscript:	A review of the application of Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) in wild animal research
Type of the Article	<u>Review Article</u>

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	"Wild animals" is not universally understood to mean terrestrial vertebrates. If the author(s) wish to focus on terrestrial vertebrates, they should use the term "terrestrial vertebrates" in the title and abstract.	
Minor REVISION comments	I have made numerous annotations on the manuscript document correcting minor errors. Particular attention should be paid to separating Genus and species names with a space: Homo_sapiens NOT Homosapiens	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jeffrey Marcus
Department, University & Country	University of Manitoba, Canada