### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Type of the Article	Review Article
Title of the Manuscript:	REVIEW ON PLANTS THERAPEUTIC EFFECTS AGAINST GASTROINTESTINAL MICROBES
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_48480
Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology

## **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The abstract is poorly written.	
	The referencing style in the body of the work is not in line with SDI authors' guideline. Revise.	
	All the places highlighted in red are to be revised. Those in blue are poorly referenced.	
	The introduction is poorly written. It has to be improved.	
	There are lots of grammatical errors in the manuscript and the manuscript is generally poorly referenced being a review with a wide scope "plants".	
	There is no organization in the manuscript.	
	The manuscript is vague and ambiguous.	
	There are lots of copy and paste on this manuscript.	
	The reference are in not in line with SDI authors' guideline.	
M. DEWOON		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



## **SDI Review Form 1.6**

# PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Uchendu, Mbah Okuwa
Department, University & Country	Michael Okpara University of Agriculture , Nigeria

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)