

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org

#### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_34044
Title of the Manuscript:	Examining Employees' Attitudes Performance Appraisal and its Impact on Performance and Motivation in a UK Information Technology (IT) Firm
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



#### SDI Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul> <li>The title of the manuscript should be checked and revised as it seems to have missing words.</li> <li>Introduction should be improved in order to provide a more robust theoretical background for the research topic.</li> <li>The reason why the researchers select these study variables should be provided in a clear manner.</li> <li>In Methodology, it says " the second section was further subdivided into 2 subsections each posing a set of questions in relation to each of the study's research objectives as shown in table 1"; however, Table 1 shows the Regression Model with no evident understanding of such two sub-sections.</li> <li>In Methodology, the Likert scale used should be explained with more details. For instance, did the researchers develop the questionnaire items? Was there a statistical analysis of reliability and validity of those items? The Reliability and Validity section only presents the description of these concepts, but not the relevant data.</li> <li>In Discussion, it says "five main factors were identified" and "five main potential factors were identified. The researchers should explain the difference between "main factors" and "main potential" factors. Furthermore, do these factors have an effect on employees attitude toward performance appraisal or on employee performance or employee motivation? Is there a difference in their effects on performance and motivation?</li> <li>In Results and Discussion, there is not any information about the perceptions of HR managers? Was there a comparison or a difference? There is also no detail whether the study results differ from other sectors as the study was conducted in IT sector. Are the findings similar or are there any results specific to IT sector?</li> </ul>	
Minor REVISION	The sector. Are the findings similar of are there any results specific to the sector?	
comments	- Language of the manuscript is not easy to read. The whole manuscript should be checked and revised in order to enable the reader to understand easily.	

### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



# SDI Review Form 1.6

	<ul> <li>The organizational implications of the study findings should be improved beyond just expressing the factors identified, and more solid recommendations should be made</li> </ul>	
Optional/General comments	- The manuscript should be restructured in order to provide a better understanding of its content and findings.	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Izlem Gözükara
Department, University & Country	Istanbul Arel University, Turkey