



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_49149
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploration on the Effectiveness of Radio Frequency Treatment and Flash Pasteurisation on Enzyme and Microbial Activity for Coconut Water Preservation
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The language needs revision. Where is the line number? The references in the introduction section should be arranged from the oldest to the newest [eg, Murasaki et al. (2009) and Tan et al. (2014)]. Please, mention the aim of the work at the end of the introduction section. In Material and Method section, all the abbreviations should be mentioned (eg, CW, IIFPT, TSS, DNS). It is preferable to add references to each of the used methods. The references should be written in the same style. There are some references that were mentioned in the manuscript has not been mentioned in the references section (eg, Thuan <i>et al.</i>, 2013). Although the references were mentioned numerically (1, 2, 3,.....) in the references section, they were motioned as authors (not number) in the manuscript. Any abbreviation or * should be mentioned under the table of results. Where is the discussion of the obtained results???!!! 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



SDI Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Wafaa Abd El-Ghany Abd El-Ghany</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Cairo University, Egypt</i>