



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BMRJ_25362
Title of the Manuscript:	MICROBIAL ENZYMES: THERAPEUTIC APPLICATIONS
Type of the Article	Review paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Many enzymes are written without their EC code number such as L-asparaginase, collagenase, streptokinase, staphylokinase, sarrazyme, sarrapeptidase, lysostaphin, Alginate lyase, β-glucuronidase and sphingomyelinase. The authors should write in their review a precise informations in their text and references. 2) In the abstract, the sentence "Nowadyas enzymes are considered as core of biotechnology because they are the main tools for the application of basic biotechnological techniques, the target of the therapeutic drugs and are indespensable intermediates in all biotechnological processes" is not clear. 3) In lines 295 & 296, the sentence "Microbial enzymes also find their application in various lysosome storage diseases" is not clear. 4) What is the scientific importance of the sentence in lines 303 & 304. 5) The authors have to revise their references list in one system. Some references with unabbreviated journal names and others with abbreviated. The reference year in some references is shown at the end and others are different. 	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) In line 252, triacylglycerol but not tri glycerides. 2) The word "considered" in line 4 in the abstract should be "considered". 	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahmoud Balbaa
Department, University & Country	Alexandria University, Egypt