



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_47655
Title of the Manuscript:	Angiotensin 1-7: A second window of protection in hypertensive patients
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Paper needs revision in terms of both linguistic and some contents.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Paper will contribute to this field. However, it should be improved. More recent papers are available on https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Angiotensin+1-7 . Please refers to this link https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Angiotensin+1-7 . This will help you improve the quality and content of paper.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mustafa ÖZTOP
Department, University & Country	Mehmet Akif Ersoy University, Turkey