



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_47188
Title of the Manuscript:	THE PREDICTIVE INFLUENCE OF DEMOGRAPHIC AND PERSONALITY TRAITS ON RISKY DRIVING BEHAVIOUR AMONG TRAFFIC OFFENDERS IN OSUN STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In abstract there is no need for detailed results, just descriptive, narrative In abstract there is mentioned that 277 participants took part, and in methodology 283 – what is correct There is no need to make calculations for sample size – this is scientifically very known, but you need to give reasons and restrictions of conclusions if minimum sample is not fulfilled There is no evidence that these conclusions could be applied in any other country or continent, so authors should highlight that issue. Also, I strongly recommend to author that read some of paper published in other journal with high impact factor, i.e. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2010.09.005 I want to see particular chapter that deals with literature review, where reareds could see the role and the place of this paper in scientifically manner, but also to see precisely motive for this paper. After improving paper, I want to see again, and if authors improve paper, I would be delighted to propose publishing 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dalibor Pešić
Department, University & Country	University of Belgrade, Serbia