



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_47188
Title of the Manuscript:	THE PREDICTIVE INFLUENCE OF DEMOGRAPHIC AND PERSONALITY TRAITS ON RISKY DRIVING BEHAVIOUR AMONG TRAFFIC OFFENDERS IN OSUN STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The paper does not identify if a human ethics research board has approved this work. While there appears to be appropriate consent with the subjects, the lack of an ethics clearance reported raises a number of concerns that would need to be addressed. The authors must indicate if this approval has been received and from which institution. If ethics clearance has not been received, then the article should not be accepted.</p> <p>It should be noted that the article identifies correlation not causation.</p> <p>The authors should address the degree to which the Big Five Inventory has been normed on a Nigerian population. There is significant controversy over the validity of the Big Five and its applicability to various populations including non-Western groups.</p> <p>The conclusion regarding universal personality testing is not supported. There may be correlation as noted above but there is not evidence that recommending such an intrusive step is warranted by the data. There is no evidence in this study that would impact accident rates.</p> <p>My major concern is the use of a personality inventory which may not have been normed for the population upon whom it was administered. The authors have a duty to show that the instrument is valid for the population and the purpose. If the authors can address this, then a major revision should be submitted for re-review.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p> <p>Yes – there is no indication of a human ethics research board clearance</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Peter Choate
Department, University & Country	Social Work, Mount Royal University, Canada